UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,878	09/26/2007	Hyun-Kwon Chung	1101.0238	3804
	7590 09/14/201 lectual Property Law, I	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 34688	3	CHEVALIER, ROBERT		
Washington, DC 20043			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

info@nsiplaw.com uspto@nsiplaw.com nsiplaw@gmail.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/573,878	CHUNG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ROBERT CHEVALIER	2621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Ju</u>	lv 2010.				
	/ <del></del>				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1.11-13 and 17-21 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) 2-10 and 14-16 is/are objected to.</li> </ul>					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2006 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11.	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4)	te			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/573,878 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 11-13, and 17-21, have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1, 11-13, and 17-21, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Chung et al (P.N. 2005/0078947) in view of Bae et al (P.N. 7,356,248).

Chung et al disclose a video recording/reproducing apparatus that shows substantially the same limitations recited in claim 1, including the feature of the moving picture data having a plurality of playback routes (See Chung et al's claim 1), the feature

Art Unit: 2621

of the plurality of subtitle data items corresponding to the playback routes (See Claim 1, and the last paragraph of claim 11, of Chung et al), and the feature of mapping information linking the moving picture data and the subtitle data as specified in the present claim 1. (See Claim 1, and the last paragraph of claim 11, of Chung et al).

Chung et al fail to specifically disclose the feature of supporting random search for a subtitle as specified in the present claim 1.

Bae et al disclose DVD reproducing apparatus which include such a feature of supporting random search for a subtitle as specified in the present claim 1. (See Bae et al's claim 1).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the Chung et al's apparatus wherein the reproducing means provided thereof would incorporate the capability of supporting random search for a subtitle in the same conventional manner as is shown by Bae et al. The motivation is to provide desired subtitle during reproduction operation at any desired time as suggested by Bae et al.

With regard to claim 11, the feature of the at least one of the subtitle data or the mapping information further comprises at least one: font information describing a font of subtitle data to be displayed on a screen, information on a producer making the subtitle, packet identifier (PID) information of the subtitle data to distinguish from moving picture data, and subtitle indication information by language of the subtitle data, as specified thereof is present in the proposed combination indicated above. (See Bae et al's Figure 6).

Application/Control Number: 10/573,878

Art Unit: 2621

With regard to claims 12-13, and 17-18, the feature of reproducing from the storage medium the moving picture data and decoding the same and the subtitle processor and the conversion of the subtitle data as specified thereof are present in the cited reference of Chung et al. (See Chung et al's Figure 4).

Page 4

With regard to claims 19-21, the feature of the moving picture data and the corresponding subtitle data being linked using the table as specified thereof is present in the cited reference of Chung et al. (See Chung et al's Figure 7).

5. The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

Application/Control Number: 10/573,878 Page 5

Art Unit: 2621

# Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2-10, and 14-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT CHEVALIER whose telephone number is (571)272-7374. The examiner can normally be reached on MM-F (9:00-6:30), second Monday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,878 Page 6

Art Unit: 2621

/ROBERT CHEVALIER/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621 September 9, 2010.